

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,485	PETRUS ENGELS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754	

All Participants:

(1) Frederick C. Nicolas, Examiner.

(2) Michael R. Hull, Attorney.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 September 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-2,4-22,24-43,45-59

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

agreed to amend claims 1,4,8-10,14,19,21-22,24-26,28-29,38-42,45,48-59 and the abstract for clarity and cancel claims 6,11-13,30-32,37,39,43.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II, above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)